

#### PENNTEACHER.ORG

# e-Learning for Educators Research Studies Results Spring 2010

The findings from the research studies conducted within the e-Learning for Educators (efE) grant provide strong evidence that high-quality<sup>1</sup> online professional development courses can positively affect teacher content knowledge, teaching practices and student achievement.

## Background

In fall 2005, the United Stated Department of Education awarded a Ready to Teach grant to a collaborative partnership between ten state departments of education and ten public television stations in those states. It was agreed that Alabama Public Television would provide lead management for the project. In Pennsylvania, PBS 39 of Bethlehem, PA and the Educational Technology Department of the PA Department of Education provided the PA State leadership.

The grant partners set aggressive goals that, if achieved, would result in a sustainable, systematic delivery of online professional development (OPD) for teachers, particularly those in high-need (Title I) schools. Proper assessment and evaluation of impacts and results were considered critical to this project.

Assessing improvements required the assistance of a team of researchers and evaluators from inTASC, Boston College's Technology Assessment and Study Collaborative Center. Another partner, the Education Development Center (EDC), developed twelve English Language Arts (ELA) and Mathematics online courses used for the research series and provided the training for facilitators who delivered the workshops to teachers participating in the research study.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The term "high-quality" denotes specific characteristics including, but not limited to: grounding in research-based practices for both effective OPD and traditional professional development, lessons-learned from a history of developing online PD courses, constructivist collaborative learning communities, and other characteristics such as duration, follow-up, alignment with priorities and initiatives, peer-to-peer delivery, and facilitated model.

### **Project Research**

The e-Learning for Educators research efforts focused on assessing the effects of online professional development (OPD) in three areas:

- (1) Improvements in teacher content knowledge
- (2) Improvements in instructional practice; and
- (3) Subsequent improvement in academic achievement for students

Boston College (BC) led the rigorous research studies conducted by the e-Learning for Educators (efE) project team. The efE research studies were aligned with the goals and priorities of both the Ready to Teach grant and the United States Department of Education, some of which are referenced in the previous paragraphs.

The efE studies sought to:

- (1) Address the limitations and shortcomings noted about prior research of online education in general and online professional development particularly, and
- (2) Focus on teacher outcomes as well as student outcomes.

Prior studies had limitations such as small sample sizes and lack of random assignment. In addition to the shortcomings of existing research, few longitudinal studies existed. Typical previous studies assessed "one shot" online courses that simply measured pre-post course content knowledge for one course or were conducted within higher education settings rather than in the context of K-12 education. None of the previous studies focused ambitiously on assessing how K-12 teacher online professional development might affect *student* achievement.

#### Study Sample, Organization and Process

To begin the implementation of the rigorous research effort, a series of three online professional development courses for each grade level were collaboratively developed and reviewed among the project partners and by experts in the content areas. The course development process was led by EDC a recognized leader in the field of online course development. Together EDC course developers and BC researchers created a series of customized instruments to assess participant learning in each online course.

Beginning in spring 2007 and continuing through summer 2009, 369 teachers and 21,217 students participated in the research studies. Originally, the project sought to recruit entire schools and conduct the research with a school model; however, recruitment for the school model proved to be extremely difficult so the

project shifted to an individual teacher recruitment model. To encourage completion of the three sequential courses and related data collection, teachers were paid small stipends. Additionally, teachers earned graduate credit associated with the OPD workshops and the teachers who were initially randomly assigned to the control group were later allowed to participate in the development workshops once the research study completed.

With teachers from 21 states participating, the scale of participation was large and the quantity of data collected and analyzed was extremely large. For example, more than 21,500 open-ended responses were analyzed in addition to hundreds of multiple-choice questions. There were no existing systems that could capture the types and amount of data that this research effort required so Boston College designed an online data collection system specifically for the e-Learning research effort. Ultimately, teachers completed pre and post surveys, as well as administered student surveys and tests over a period of three semesters.

The study sample size (n) varied according to grade level. In total, data from 315 teachers and their students were used to examine the effect that the online professional development courses had on teacher knowledge, teacher practices, and student achievement. The distribution of teachers and students are listed in Table 1. Despite attempts to retain all teachers (and their students) in the studies, some teachers withdrew from the studies due to circumstances beyond their control such as change in teaching assignment (grade, school, or content area) and other personal circumstances.

The 4<sup>th</sup> and 7<sup>th</sup> Grade English Language Arts (ELA) research focused on teacher and student outcomes in the areas of vocabulary, writing and reading/comprehension. The 5<sup>th</sup> Grade Math research focused on teacher and student outcomes in fractions, algebraic thinking and measurement. The 8<sup>th</sup> Grade Math research focused on teacher and student outcomes in proportional reasoning, geometric measurement, and functions.

Table 1: Study Participation Group Size by Grade and Assignment (n = number of participants)

Grade/Subject	Teachers		Students	
	Experimental	Control	Experimental	Control
	Group (n)	Group (n)	Group (n)	Group
4 <sup>th</sup> Gr. ELA	49	61	766	922
5 <sup>th</sup> Gr. Math	34	45	648	790
7 <sup>th</sup> Gr. ELA	35	49	831	1225
8 <sup>th</sup> Gr. Math	28	43	799	1090
Total	133	182	3109	4330

Table 2: Research Study Courses: Grade, Subject, And Topic

Grade/ Subject	Course 1	Course 2	Course 3
4th ELA	Best Practices for Vocabulary Instruction in the Elementary Classroom	Promoting Reading Comprehension Skills in the Elementary Classroom	Teaching Writing in the Elementary Classroom
5th Math	Using Models to Understand Fractions	Algebraic Thinking in Elementary School	The Complexities of Measurement
7th ELA	Best Practices for Vocabulary Instruction in the Middle School Classroom	Promoting Reading Comprehension Skills in the Middle School Classroom	Teaching Writing in the Middle School Classroom
8th Math	Proportional Reasoning	A Conceptual Introduction to Function: Using Visual Models	Geometric Measurement

## **Data Analyses**

Data were collected from all teachers and students in the studies however, only data from teachers and students who completed both pre and post course surveys were analyzed. Data were subjected to appropriate statistical tests (ANOVA, ANCOVA, HLM²) to determine both statistical impact and the practical educational impact (effect size). These statistical analyses examine whether a measurable effect on teachers or students was likely due to chance or could be associated as a result of taking the OPD courses.

Within each grade level, data focusing on two separate topics have been collected: (1) teachers' and students' *knowledge* in two instructional content areas (mathematics, English), and (2) their *practices* (the teacher's instructional methods, strategies and techniques in teaching specific content; students' practices in computation, writing.)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) and Analysis of Covariance (ANCOVA) are statistical calculations to determine the relationships between two variables or multiple variables, respectively. Hierarchical Linear Modeling (HLM) is a statistical procedure that accounts for the clustering of student scores around individual teachers.

## Study Findings

The study results suggest that the e-Learning courses and process can have a positive effect on teacher knowledge, teacher instructional practices, and, most importantly, student achievement. Overall, both teachers *and* students made statistically significant <sup>3</sup> improvements. The results indicate there is some evidence of a cause-and-effect change due to the OPD instruction and that improvements did not occur by chance.

Teachers who completed the series of three OPD courses made *strong* improvements in content knowledge *and* classroom teaching practices. Improved content knowledge and improved teaching resulted in students in some grade levels having improved scores on tests in the content areas, such as proportional reasoning or vocabulary, among others. Table 3 depicts the composite results of all workshops from each grade level.

This document does not present information about the strength of effects however there were notable impacts for teachers in all grade levels. The impact on teachers was strongest in 5<sup>th</sup> grade math but there were also very positive effects on some 8<sup>th</sup> grade math content areas such as proportional reasoning and geometric measurement — two areas that are frequently noted as being very difficult to teach and very difficult for students to master. Further data analysis is ongoing to determine further results and to disaggregate data among high needs schools versus all schools or non-high needs schools.

Table 3: Composite Results-Statistically Significant Cha	nges
--	------

	4 <sup>th</sup> Grade English Language Arts	5 <sup>th</sup> Grade Math	7 <sup>th</sup> Grade English Language Arts	8 <sup>th</sup> Grade Math
Composite Results for Students	+	+	+	+
Composite Results for Teachers	+	+	+	+*

+ Indicates statistically significant results; +\* indicates that results vary depending upon the statistical test applied.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Statistical significance is a mathematical calculation of change. A "statistically significant" change is the result of an intervention (taking online courses) as compared to change that might occur simply by chance with or without the intervention. Conversely, a change that is not statistically significant would indicate that the results could occur by chance and are not a result of the intervention.

## **Summary and Future Implications**

The e-Learning for Educators project partners and management team are very pleased with the results of the research to date. We anticipate that further findings will also be positive.

The research design, duration and audiences are groundbreaking and should provide definitive evidence that OPD courses can help improve teaching and more importantly, student learning. These results can be used to inform educational decision makers and guide policymakers as they consider increasingly scarce public and private investments in programs, projects, and activities that will yield the most effective results for enhancing student learning. These findings and other evaluation results will serve as evidence of success as e-Learning project partners seek state budget allocations and line-item program funding, innovation grants, and development contributions that will extend this work to serve additional teachers in the ten efE project states and elsewhere.

We expect that these data and findings will be widely published in peer-reviewed research journals, educational magazines, and in state education association newsletters. As further analyses and additional results become available, they will be publicized. Final results will be included with the final report of the e-Learning for Educators project at the close of the grant project Winter 2010.

Slides presenting more detailed research results are available on the eLearning webpage of the PA e-Learning for Educators website at <a href="http://pennteacher.org">http://pennteacher.org</a>

For additional information about the research studies or the PA e-Learning for Educators project, please contact Valerie C. Holt, PA e-Learning for Educators Project Director at <a href="mailto:director@pennteacher.org">director@pennteacher.org</a>